

## ABDOMINAL WALL CLOSURE

MONOMAX®

Distributed by:

B. Braun Medical N.V./S.A. | Lambroekstraat 5b | 1831 Diegem | Belgium  
+32 (0)2 712 86 50 | [customercare.be@bbraun.com](mailto:customercare.be@bbraun.com) | [www.bbraun.be](http://www.bbraun.be)

## AESCULAP® – a B. Braun brand

Aesculap AG | Am Aesculap-Platz | 78532 Tuttlingen | Germany  
Phone +49 7461 95-0 | Fax +49 7461 95-2600 | [www.aesculap.com](http://www.aesculap.com)

Manufacturer acc. to MDD 93/42/EEC

B. Braun Surgical, S.A. | Carretera de Terrassa, 121 | 08191 Rubí | Spain

The main product trademark "AESCULAP" and the product trademarks "Monomax" and "MonoPlus" are registered trademarks of Aesculap AG. The product trademark "Monosyn" is a registered trademark of B. Braun Surgical GmbH. "VICRYL" and "PDS" are registered trademarks of Ethicon.

Subject to technical changes. All rights reserved. This brochure may only be used for the exclusive purpose of obtaining information about our products. Reproduction in any form partial or otherwise is not permitted.

# CLINICAL EVIDENCE FOR ABDOMINAL WALL CLOSURE



## THE INSECT STUDY (2)

- Comparison of the interrupted technique using a rapidly absorbable braided suture with the continuous technique using different slowly absorbable monofilament sutures, focusing on the incidence of incisional hernia rate 1 year postoperatively.
- Multicenter randomized controlled trial with 3 parallel groups including 625 patients.

*"The incidence of incisional hernias and the frequency of wound infection was higher than expected in all groups. New concepts need to be developed and studied to substantially reduce the frequency of incisional hernias."*

## THE MULTIMAC STUDY (3)

- Evaluation of Monomax® suture performance for transverse and midline abdominal wall closure in daily clinical practice even in high risk patients (no BMI limit).
- A multicenter, international, prospective, observational, single-arm study including 200 patients.

*"The application of Monomax® is safe and effective, including for the closure of transverse abdominal wounds."*

*"The low short-term complication rates (burst abdomen and wound infection) observed using Monomax® suture in obese, AAA and diabetic patients in the current study indicate a beneficial clinical outcome also for high-risk patients."*

## THE INLINE META-ANALYSIS (1)

- Evaluation of the optimal suture technique and material for abdominal fascia closure after elective midline laparotomy.
- 5 systematic reviews and 14 trials including 7711 patients were analysed.

*"... there is a lower change of developing incisional hernia if the abdominal fascia is closed with a continuous technique using slowly absorbable suture material in comparison with interrupted technique with rapid-absorbable suture material."*

## THE ISSAAC STUDY (4)

- Assessment of the safety and efficacy of the new ultra-long-term absorbable, elastic monofilament suture material Monomax® for abdominal wall closure.
- Historically controlled, single-arm, multicentre prospective study with 150 patients. The control group consisted of 141 patients from the INSECT study receiving a continuous slowly absorbable polydioxanone suture (PDO).

- Primary endpoint: Burst abdomen and/or wound infection rate until day of discharge:


ISSAAC (Monomax® group)	INSECT (PDO group)
7.3 %	11.3 %

- Secondary endpoint: Incidence of incisional hernias 12 months postoperatively.

ISSAAC (Monomax® group)	INSECT (PDO group)
14.0 %	21.3 %

*"... Monomax® is safe and efficient for abdominal wall closure."*

# NEW TRENDS IN ABDOMINAL WALL CLOSURE



## THE "SMALL BITES" TECHNIQUE

In addition to the suture material, the technique plays an important role in the reduction of postoperative complications.

## STITCH STUDY (5)

- Comparison of the large bites suture technique with the small bites technique for fascial closure of midline laparotomy incisions. The primary outcome was the occurrence of incisional hernia.
- Prospective, multicentre, double-blind, randomised controlled trial including 560 patients.
- At 1 year follow-up:

	Small bites	Large bites
Incisional hernia rate	13 %	21 %

Rates of adverse events did not differ significantly between groups.


*"Our findings show that the small bites suture technique is more effective than the traditional large bites technique for prevention of incisional hernia in midline incisions."*

## SUGGESTED TECHNIQUE TO HELP REDUCE TRAUMA OF THE ABDOMINAL WALL (6)

By reducing stitch spacing and achieving moderate thread strain.

- Extra-long term monofilament.
- USP 0 or 2/0, single thread, 150 cm.
- Small needle (HR26 or HR30).
- Continuous suturing.
- Only aponeurosis grasping.
- Thread length: Incision length ratio  $\geq 5:1$ .
- Distance between stitches: 4 - 5 mm.
- Distance to wound edge: 5 - 8 mm.
- Very small tension applied to suture.

Figure 1:  
"Small bites" technique scheme. Scale 3:1.




# THE CHALLENGE OF ABDOMINAL WALL CLOSURE

## Monomax<sup>®</sup> FOR ABDOMINAL WALL CLOSURE

### THE CHALLENGE:

- Incisional hernias:**  
 Incisional hernia is a frequent complication after midline incisions of the abdominal wall. Its incidence varies from 9 % to 20 % in different studies (1).
- Trocar site hernias:**  
 Incidence of about 1 % (higher incidence rates when using trocars of  $\geq 10$  mm compared with smaller trocars) (7).
- Paediatric trocar site hernias:**  
 Incidence 5.3 % higher than in juvenile and adult patients (7).
- High intra-abdominal pressure:**  
 The suture line is frequently exposed to heavy loads, which might cause conventional sutures to cut the tissue and thus trigger hernias (8).
- Long healing process:**  
 The abdominal wall fascia requires approximately 2 months to regain 50 % of its original strength and only recovers 70 % of the original strength 1 year postoperatively (9).



Monomax<sup>®</sup> is the first and unique extra-long term absorbable monofilament synthetic suture material (11).




Figure 2: Abdominal wall strength recovery compared with Monomax<sup>®</sup> degradation profiles (USP 1 and 0) (10, 12).


— Monomax<sup>®</sup> degradation profile  
 — Strength recovery abdominal wall

### MAXIMUM ELONGATION

Monomax<sup>®</sup> elongates twice as much as polydioxanone sutures (13).

*“ Monomax<sup>®</sup> has peak values of elongation more than double the elongation values of PDS<sup>®</sup> II. According to the basic assumption that a more elongable suture material should have a positive impact on the tissue strangulation, Monomax<sup>®</sup> can follow peak loads (during coughing, movements, etc.) easier than PDS<sup>®</sup> II. The latter one is rather rigid already beginning at low forces which might disturb the blood supply to the sutured tissues.”*

Figure 3: Elongation of Monomax<sup>®</sup> and PDS<sup>®</sup> II after applying pressure peaks (5 - 20 N) vs. time (13).



## THE NEED

The ideal material should not only have a high tear resistance but also adequate elasticity to absorb and intercept the tension from the fascia dynamics (10).

Current literature supports the use of slow absorbable monofilament sutures to close the abdominal wall in elective cases (1) and also of the trocar sites (7).

After having found unexpectedly high rates of incisional hernia in all groups (interrupted VICRYL<sup>®</sup>, continuous PDS<sup>®</sup>, continuous MonoPlus<sup>®</sup>), the INSECT study concluded that new concepts are still needed for abdominal wall closure (2).




B. BRAUN GOES A STEP FURTHER AND DESIGNS AN INNOVATIVE SOLUTION IN ABDOMINAL WALL HEALTH.



# Monomax<sup>®</sup>

## FOR ABDOMINAL WALL CLOSURE




### Monomax<sup>®</sup> FOR SINGLE INCISION LAPAROSCOPY AND CLASSICAL MINIMAL INVASIVE SURGERY:

Literature reports the need to use a slowly-absorbable or even non-absorbable suture to prevent trocar site hernias (7).

Monomax<sup>®</sup> possibly reduces the incidence of incisional hernias in comparison to polypropylene sutures (in 2 years follow-up) (14).

The use of Monomax<sup>®</sup> is adequate for fascia closure in open or in laparoscopic procedures (15, 16).




### Monomax<sup>®</sup> WITH HOOK NEEDLES FOR LAPAROSCOPIC PROCEDURES.














# Monomax<sup>®</sup>









## PRODUCT FEATURES










Structure	Monofilament
Chemical composition	Poly-4-hydroxybutyrate
Color	Violet
Size	USP 1 (metric 4), USP 0 (metric 3.5), USP 2/0 (metric 3)
Tensile strength retention	50 % tensile strength retention at 90 - 210 days
Mass absorption	Essentially completed between 13 months and more than 36 months (17)
Indication	General soft tissue approximation, especially when the use of an absorbable monofilament suture with an extended wound support up to 15 weeks is indicated. i.e. abdominal wall closure
Sterilization	Ethylene oxide (EO)













Needle length mm	Thread length cm color	USP (metric)								
		4/0 (1.5)	3/0 (2)	2/0 (3)	0 (3.5)	1 (4)	2 (5)	3 (6)	4 (6)	
1/2 circle round bodied needle										
 HR 20	90 violet				B0041037					
 HR 22	70 violet				B0041415	B0041416				
 HR 22s	70 violet					B0041033				
 HR 26	70 90 150 violet				B0041249 B0041258 B0041444	B0041250 B0041441				
 HR 26s	70 150 violet					B0041002 B0041442				
 HR 30	70 90 150 violet				B0041267 B0041278 B0041453	B0041455	B0041269 B0041280			
 HR 37	70 90 150 violet				B0041015 B0041044	B0041024	B0041025			
 HR 37	150 violet						B0041038			
 HR 37s	70 90 150 violet				B0041034 B0041042	B0041035 B0041046 B0041043	B0041036 B0041047			
 HR 40	70 90 violet				B0041057	B0041066	B0041067			
 HR 40s	70 90 violet				B0041086	B0041087 B0041097	B0041088 B0041098			


Needle length mm	Thread length cm color	USP (metric)								
		4/0 (1.5)	3/0 (2)	2/0 (3)	0 (3.5)	1 (4)	2 (5)	3 (6)	4 (6)	
1/2 circle round bodied needle										
 HR 40s	150 violet						B0041076	B0041077		
 HR 43	70 violet						B0041298			
 HR 43	150 violet							B0041307		
 HR 43s	90 violet						B0041107	B0041108		
 HR 48	70 90 violet						B0041128	B0041137	B0041138	
 HR 48	150 violet						B0041117	B0041118	B0041119	
 HR 65	90 violet								B0041003	
 HR 65	150 violet						B0041147	B0041148		

C Box of 36 units    B Box of 24 units    G Box of 12 units    M Box of 6 units

Needle length mm	Thread length cm color	USP (metric)								
		4/0 (1.5)	3/0 (2)	2/0 (3)	0 (3.5)	1 (4)	2 (5)	3 (6)	4 (6)	
<b>1/2 circle round bodied needle</b>										
	150 violet					B0041157				
<b>5/8 circle round bodied needle</b>										
	70 violet			B0041887	B0041888	B0041889				
	70 90 violet					B0041571 B0041573				
	150 violet					B0041001				
<b>Ski needle round bodied needle</b>										
	70 violet					B0041004				
<b>Hook round bodied needle with short cutting point</b>										
	70 violet					B0041560				
<b>1/2 circle round bodied needle with trocar point</b>										
	70 150 violet			B0041175 B0041463	B0041176					

Needle length mm	Thread length cm color	USP (metric)								
		4/0 (1.5)	3/0 (2)	2/0 (3)	0 (3.5)	1 (4)	2 (5)	3 (6)	4 (6)	
<b>1/2 circle round bodied needle with trocar point</b>										
	150 violet				B0041472					
	70 90 violet				B0041352	B0041344				
	90 violet					B0041194				
	150 violet					B0041184	B0041185			
	90 violet					B0041212				
	150 violet					B0041203				
	90 violet				B0041240	B0041241				
	120 150 violet				B0041221	B0041310 B0041222				

Needle length mm	Thread length cm color	USP (metric)							
		4/0 (1.5)	3/0 (2)	2/0 (3)	0 (3.5)	1 (4)	2 (5)	3 (6)	4 (6)
<b>5/8 circle round bodied needle with trocar point</b>									
 FRT 65 ⊕	150 violet				B0041005	B0041006			
<b>1/2 circle round bodied needle with blunt point</b>									
 HRN 50 ○	150 violet					B0041166			



- (1) Diener MK, Voss S, Jensen K, Buchler MW, Seiler CM. Elective midline laparotomy closure: The INLINE systematic review and meta analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2010 May;251(5):843-56.
- (2) Seiler CM, Bruckner I, Diener MK, Pappan A, Golcher H, Seidlmayer C, et al. Interrupted or continuous slowly absorbable sutures for closure of primary elective midline abdominal incisions: a multicenter randomized trial (INSECT-I SRCIN24023541). *Ann Surg.* 2009 Apr;249(4):576-82.
- (3) Baumann P, Savulescu F, Ferko A, Neoral C, Wente MN. Evaluation of a Monofile, Ultra-Long Absorbable Suture with High Elasticity for Abdominal Wall Closure Under Daily Clinical Routine MULTIMAC a Prospective Observational Study. *Journal of Surgery.* 2019;7(1):1-7.
- (4) Albertsmeier M, Seiler CM, Fischer L, Baumann P, Husing J, Seidlmayer C, et al. Evaluation of the safety and efficacy of Monomax suture material for abdominal wall closure after primary midline laparotomy – a controlled prospective multicentre trial: ISSAAC. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* 2012 Mar; 397(3):363-71.
- (5) Deerenberg EB, Harlaar JJ, Steyerberg EW, Lont HE, van Doorn HC, Heisterkamp J, et al. Small bites versus large bites for closure of abdominal midline incisions (STITCH): a double-blind, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2015 Sep;386(10000):1254-60.
- (6) Israelsson LA and Milbourn D. Closing midline abdominal incisions. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* 2012;397:1201-07.
- (7) Helgstrand F, Rosenberg J, Bisgaard T. Trocar site hernia after laparoscopic surgery: a qualitative systematic review. *Hernia.* 2011 Apr;15(2):113-21.
- (8) Hoer J, Stumpf M, Rosch R, Klinge U, Schumpelick V. Prevention of incisional hernia. *Chirurg.* 2002 Sep;73(9):881-7.
- (9) Rath AM, Chevrel JP. The healing of laparotomies: review of the literature. *Hernia.* 1998 Sep;2:145-9.
- (10) van 't Riet M, Steyerberg EW, Nellensteyn J, Bonjer HJ, Jeekel J. Meta-analysis of techniques for closure of midline abdominal incisions. *Br J Surg.* 2002; 89(11):1350-6.
- (11) Covered by patent EP 1638615 B1 (Polyhydroxyalkanoate medical textiles and fibers).
- (12) Instructions for use of Monomax.
- (13) Data on file RDR/DID/MON/MAU/13118.
- (14) Uske K, Rao SK, Venkateshwar P. RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL ON COMPARISON OF ABSORBABLE EXTRA LONG TERM (POLY HYDROXY BUTYRATE) SUTURE VS NON ABSORBABLE (POLYPROPYLENE) SUTURE FOR ABDOMINAL WALL CLOSURE. *International Journal of Current Research.* 2017;9(11):60651-9.
- (15) Hoyuela C. Five-year outcomes of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy as a primary procedure for morbid obesity: A prospective study. *World J Gastrointest Surg.* 2017;9(4):109-17.
- (16) Fink C, Baumann P, Wente MN, Knebel P, Bruckner T, Ulrich A, et al. Incisional hernia rate 3 years after midline laparotomy. *Br J Surg.* 2014;101(2):51-4.
- (17) In vivo test lab no. 3450.

C Box of 36 units	B Box of 24 units	G Box of 12 units	M Box of 6 units
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------

