# **Triple Monitoring**

## **Enhancing Safety in Peripheral Nerve Blocks**



**Regional Anesthesia** 





# **Triple Monitoring**

### A Multimodal Approach for Peripheral Nerve Blocks

#### Ultrasound

Monitors needle advancement and spread of local anesthetic in real time.<sup>12,13</sup>

#### **Nerve Stimulation**

Identifies nerves by eliciting specific distal motor response; response at < 0.5 mA may indicate needle-nerve-contact or intraneural placement of the needle.<sup>1,5,7</sup>

#### **Injection Pressure**

High opening injection pressure (> 15psi) may indicate or detect needle-nerve contact, intrafascicular needle placement, injection into poorly compliant tissues (fasciae, tendons) or needle obstruction.<sup>1,4</sup>

## Suggested Standard Monitoring for Nerve Blocks<sup>®</sup>

Combined Monitoring: Ultrasound + Nerve Stimulation + Opening Injection Pressure



Legend: US-Ultrasound, NS-Nerve Stimulation, LA- Local Anesthetic, Low Injection Pressure < 15psi\*

\* Experimental studies in large models/human cadavers suggest that opening pressure for intrafascicular injection requires > 15psi

+ Experimental studies suggest that EMR at < 0.2 mA (0.1 ms) indicates intraneural needle placement; for additional safety margin, 0.5 mA is recommended in the guidelines by the collaborative group



## How it works

### For Interscalene Brachial Plexus Block



	Needle tip position	Ultrasound	Nerve Stimulation	Opening Injection Pressure
1	Needle tip intramuscularly	Visual feedback, influenced by image- quality, patients sono- anatomy; highly user- dependent <sup>6</sup>	Local muscle twitch may be present, indi- cating intramuscular needle tip position	Non-specific; typically < 15psi
2	Needle tip placed against fascia (scalene sheath contact)		Local and/or distal motor response may be present	Typically high (> 15psi) as needle bevel is obstructed by the fascia
3	Needle placed in interscalene space		When present, distal motor response may occur at 0.5 mA, indicating proper needle placement	Low (< 15psi) as injection occurs into loose connective tissue perineurally 1
4	Needle-nerve-contact (brachial plexus root)		Distal motor response may be present at $\leq$ 0.5 mA <sup>1,8,10,11</sup>	High (> 15psi) as the bevel of the needle is occluded by the connective tissue <sup>1</sup>
5	Needle tip placed in the root of the brachial plexus		Distal motor response commonly present at $\leq$ 0.5 mA <sup>5</sup>	High (> 15psi) as injection into fascicles requires higher opening pressure <sup>1,4</sup>

Reprinted with permission from www.nysora.com

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Gadsden et al. Opening injection pressure consistently detects needle-nerve contact during ultrasound-guided interscalene brachial plexus block. Anesthesiology, 2014; 120:1246-53
- <sup>2</sup> Kapur et al. Neurologic and histologic outcome after intraneural injections of lidocaine in canine sciatic nerves. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 2007; 51:101-7
- <sup>3</sup> Lupu et al. Nerve expansion seen on ultrasound predicts histologic but not functional nerve injury after intraneural injection in pigs. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2010; 35:132-9
- <sup>4</sup> Orebaugh et al. Brachial plexus root injection in a human cadaver model: Injectate distribution and effects on the neuraxis. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2012; 37(5):525-9
- <sup>5</sup> Bigeleisen et al. Extraneural versus intraneural stimulation thresholds during ultrasound-guided supraclavicular block. Anesthesiology, 2009; 110(6):1235-43
- <sup>6</sup> Sites et al. Characterizing novice behavior associated with learning ultrasound-guided peripheral regional anesthesia. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2007; 32(2):107-15
- <sup>7</sup> Chan et al. An ultrasonographic and histological study of intraneural injection and electrical stimulation in pigs. Anesth Anlag, 2007; 104(5):1281-4

#### Additional relevant literature

- Claudio et al. Injection pressures by anesthesiologists during simulated peripheral nerve block. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2004; 29(3):201-5
- Gadsden et al. Lumbar plexus block using high-pressure injection leads to contralateral and epidural spread. Anesthesiology, 2008; 109(4):683-8

- <sup>8</sup> Voelckel et al. Signs of inflammation after sciatic nerve block in pigs. Anesth Analg, 2005; 101:1844-6
- <sup>9</sup> Kwofie et al. Standard approaches for upper extremity nerve blocks with an emphasis on outpatient surgery. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol, 2013; 26(4):501-8
- <sup>10</sup> Chan et al. An ultrasonographic and histological study of intraneural injection and electrical stimulation in pigs. Anesth Analg, 2007; 104(5):1281-4
- <sup>11</sup> Tsai et al. Intensity of the stimulating current may not be a reliable indicator of intraneural needle placement. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2008; 33(3):207-10
- <sup>12</sup> Neal et al. The ASRA evidence-based medicine assessment of ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia and pain medicine: Executive summary. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2010; 35:S1-9
- <sup>13</sup> Sites et al. Incidence of local anesthetic systemic toxity and postoperative neurologic symptoms associated with 12,668 ultrasoundguided nerve blocks: an analysis from a prospective clinical registry. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2012; 37(5):478-82
- Hadzic et al. Combination of intraneural injection and high injection pressure leads to fascicular injury and neurologic deficits in dogs. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2004; 29(5):417-23
- Theron et al. An animal model of 'syringe feel' during peripheral nerve block. Reg Anesth Pain Med, 2009; 34:330-2



For further information visit: www.bsmart-bbraun.com